To date, hundreds of cases have been found that show Iraq was engaged in activities that were prohibited under that resolution and under the initial resolution. 687.

Our case for war was and remains clear. The majority of the American people understand that, the House of Representatives understood that when this body agreed in the 107th Congress by passing H.J. Res. 114 by a vote of 296 to 133, and our allies around the world understood that and continue to share our resolve.

Clearly, there are those Democrat candidates who are using this election year for partisan politics to cloud the truth. These tactics will ultimately fail because we all understand that the United States is safer today and our citizens are far less likely to be victims of domestic terrorist attack because we have removed the Hussein regime and are on the way to helping establish and ally in the Middle East.

Mr. Speaker, it is also important to remember that who made this security possible. The thousands of American sailors, soldiers and airmen who drove the once powerful dictator to cower in a hole are owed the praise of the entire Nation.

I would ask that all Americans take a moment to think about our friends, sons, daughters, mothers and fathers who are serving proudly in Iraq and around the world as part of the global war on terrorism. They are ensuring our safety and working hard to make sure that another day like September 11 never happens again. To Members of our armed services, I say thank you. I would also remind them that no matter what they hear to the contrary from Democrat politicos, their actions in Iraq are justified and necessary.

NEED FOR CONCERN OVER JOB LOSS

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. ISSA). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. STRICKLAND) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. STRICKLAND. Mr. Speaker, as has been noted here on the floor earlier by my colleague the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. Brown), the President came to Ohio yesterday. It was his 15th visit since being elected, or since ascending to the presidency.

The fact is that he should come to Ohio, because Ohio is a suffering State. Ohio has lost approximately 300,000 jobs since the President has been in office. About 160,000 of those jobs have been good paying manufacturing jobs, living wage jobs, jobs with good benefits. And, quite frankly, there is no recovery in Ohio as I stand here in this Chamber today. The recovery may be happening on Wall Street, it may be happening in other States, but the recovery has not yet come to Ohio. So I think the President should be concerned.

Just yesterday in this Chamber, a group of high school students from my

district, from Jefferson County, were visiting here in the Nation's Capital, and during the question and answer session that I had with them in this Chamber, a high school student asked me what I could say to those who had worked at Weirton Steel, those who had retired, had been a part of this community and of this company, and are now being told that their health benefits are no longer there for them, that their pensions are being reduced.

Quite frankly, it is difficult to answer a question like that coming from one of my constituents, because the sad, sad fact is, there are good citizens, law-abiding, patriotic Americans, who have worked all their lives and are now finding themselves in the most difficult circumstances. They may be in their mid-fifties, with major health problems, only to find that they are no longer covered with health insurance.

So we need to focus on Ohio, and the President needs to be thinking about job creation. But that is why I am so disappointed in the President's recently published economic report to the Nation. In that report there is this sentence: "If a good or a service can be produced at lower cost in another country, it makes sense to import that product rather than to produce it domestically."

Now, the fact is that nearly every job in this country can probably be performed at lower cost in another country. The fact is that the Chinese and the Indians, the Vietnamese, those from Australia, they are doing the producing and they are taking jobs from this country. As is the case in Mexico that I visited a couple months ago, paying \$38 a week, an American company paying \$38 a week for $9\frac{1}{2}$ hour days, well, of course they can produce it at lower cost there. But the last thing we need is for the President to indicate that this is a good thing.

That economic report was issued under President Bush's signature, so he is responsible for that conclusion, that statement, "if a good or a service can be produced at a lower cost in another country, it makes sense to produce it there, rather than to produce it domestically."

But what do you say, what do you say, Mr. President, to the unemployed steelworkers, to those along the Ohio River, on both the West Virginia, Pennsylvania and Ohio portions of that river, whose jobs depend on producing china and pottery, these jobs that are being threatened by imports from China, when your administration is wanting to reduce or eliminate the current tariffs of 28 percent? What do you say to them?

Of course you can make a plate cheaper in China, if you are going to pay pennies an hour or dollars a week, but that does not make it right. We need a President who is willing to stand up for American jobs, American workers, American communities and American industries.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would remind all Members to address their remarks to the Chair and not to the Executive Branch.

PRESIDENT'S GRAND STRATEGY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. FOLEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. FOLEY. Mr. Speaker, as Paul Harvey says on his radio show, now for the rest of the story.

It is interesting, many of the Democrats have talked about job loss in America, but they do not mention the fact that trade has actually brought jobs into America. In Ohio, the Honda plant now paying high wages for its employees assembling Honda automobiles. In South Carolina, I know the Democratic governor was very instrumental in getting BMW and other companies to come to their State to create jobs for their citizens, high-wage, high-paying jobs.

You can look at one-half of the spectrum and say we have real problems. We are trying to find employment for every American. But they seem to ignore, they seem to ignore the fact that trade has brought jobs to this country, good jobs to communities that were desperately in need of those jobs.

This morning in a 1-minute, I was extraordinarily critical, rightfully so, of the Democratic candidate for the presidency. I am not allowed under House rules, I was admonished today, for using the name of a Senator, so most of us know who I am talking about.

But the word and phrase used yesterday on an open mike was that this administration is corrupt and is lying. That is the charge by the Democratic nominee for President about the sitting President of the United States of America. I called it this morning despicable and disgraceful, and I stick by that terminology, because that is the truth.

Now, look at who they are talking about. We are talking really about Iraq, because they keep using that as the reason they are calling this President a liar. They are saying Iraq is the reason he should be called these derisive terms, which I believe are disrespectful for any sitting chief executive President of the United States.

So what does that say ultimately, that we should not have done anything in Iraq, we should not have gone to Iraq, we should not have dealt with Iraq? That is their conclusion.

Well, today in the New York Times, "Saddam team skimmed billions in aid projects. Cash in suitcase."

In its final years in power, Saddam Hussein's government systemically extracted billions of dollars in kickbacks from companies doing business with Iraq, funneling most of the illicit funds through a network of foreign bank accounts in violation of the UN treaties.